

DIVERGENT TRAJECTORIES AND \mathbb{Q} -RANK

BY

BARAK WEISS

*Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev**Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel**e-mail: barakw@math.bgu.ac.il*

ABSTRACT

The author proves a conjecture of the author: If G is a semisimple real algebraic defined over \mathbb{Q} , Γ is an arithmetic subgroup (with respect to the given \mathbb{Q} -structure) and A is a diagonalizable subgroup admitting a divergent trajectory in G/Γ , then $\dim A \leq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} G$.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following result.

THEOREM 1: *Let G be a semisimple real algebraic group defined over \mathbb{Q} , let Γ be an arithmetic lattice (with respect to the given \mathbb{Q} -structure), and let $\pi: G \rightarrow G/\Gamma$ be the natural map. For any $g \in G$ and any \mathbb{R} -diagonalizable subgroup A with $\dim A > \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} G$, there is a compact $K \subset G/\Gamma$ such that for any $T > 0$ there is $Y \in \mathfrak{a} = \text{Lie}(A)$ satisfying $\|Y\| = T$ and $\exp(Y)\pi(g) \in K$.*

This immediately implies:

COROLLARY 2 ([W], Conjecture 4.11A): *If G, Γ are as above, A is an \mathbb{R} -diagonalizable subgroup of G and $\dim A > \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} G$, then there are no divergent trajectories for the action of A on G/Γ .*

The case $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} G = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} G + 1$ of Corollary 2 follows from [ToWe, Thm. 1.4]. The case $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} G = 1$ is easy; see [W, Prop. 4.12]. In [ChMo], Chatterjee and Morris obtained a partial result toward Corollary 2 in arbitrary $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} G$, and settled the case $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}} G = 2$.

The result has the following geometric interpretation (see [Mo, ChMo] for more details): if X is a finite-volume locally symmetric space, then the rational

rank of the corresponding lattice is equal to the maximal dimension of a closed simply connected flat in a finite cover of X .

The proof of Theorem 1 depends on the following topological result. Despite its simplicity we were unable to find it in the literature.

PROPOSITION 3: *Let S be an n -dimensional sphere centered at 0 in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Suppose \mathcal{V} is a cover of S by open sets such that for any $V \in \mathcal{V}$ there is a linear functional $\chi: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\chi(s) < 0$ for any $s \in V$. Then there is $s \in S$ such that*

$$\#\{V \in \mathcal{V} : s \in V\} \geq n + 1.$$

We will be using standard terminology and notation from the theory of algebraic groups and arithmetic subgroups; see, e.g., [Bo1, Bo2]. By a **real algebraic group (defined over \mathbb{Q})** we mean a finite index subgroup of the real points of a semisimple real algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} (respectively, over \mathbb{Q}). Throughout this paper, G, Γ , and $\pi: G \rightarrow G/\Gamma$ are as in the statement of Theorem 1. There is an action on G/Γ by G (and any of its subgroups) defined by $g_0\pi(g) = \pi(g_0g)$.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G can be equipped with a \mathbb{Q} -structure which is compatible with the \mathbb{Q} -structure on G . This means that we may choose a (linear) basis of \mathfrak{g} such that by definition $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the \mathbb{Z} -span of this basis, and, possibly after replacing Γ with a commensurable subgroup, we have $\text{Ad}(\Gamma)\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Z})$. For $x = \pi(g) \in G/\Gamma$, let

$$\mathfrak{g}_x = \text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Z})$$

(which is independent of the choice of $g \in \pi^{-1}(x)$).

We now record some useful facts, proved in [ToWe].

PROPOSITION 4 ([ToWe], Proposition 3.3): *There is a bounded open neighborhood W of 0 in \mathfrak{g} such that for any $x \in G/\Gamma$, the subalgebra generated by $W \cap \mathfrak{g}_x$ is unipotent.*

By definition, $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}G$ is the dimension of a maximal \mathbb{Q} -split \mathbb{Q} -torus in G . We denote the unipotent radical of an algebraic group H by $\text{Rad}_u(H)$. Let B be a minimal \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroup, and denote by P_1, \dots, P_r the distinct maximal \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroups containing B . It is known that $r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}}G$.

For $i = 1, \dots, r$ denote by \mathfrak{u}_i the Lie algebra of $\text{Rad}_u(P_i)$. Let \mathcal{R}_i be the collection of all Lie algebras of unipotent radicals of maximal \mathbb{Q} -parabolics which are conjugate to \mathfrak{u}_i , and let $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{R}_i$.

A finite subset of \mathfrak{g} is called **horospherical** if it linearly spans a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} which is conjugate to one of the \mathfrak{u}_i 's, and contains no proper subsets with this property.

PROPOSITION 5 ([ToWe], Proposition 3.5): *A subset $X \subset G/\Gamma$ is precompact if and only if there exists a neighborhood W of 0 in \mathfrak{g} such that for all $x \in X$, $\mathfrak{g}_x \cap W$ does not contain a horospherical subset.*

PROPOSITION 6 ([ToWe], Proposition 5.3): *Let $B \subset G$ be a minimal \mathbb{Q} -parabolic in G and for $j = 1, 2$ let $U_j \subset \text{Rad}_u(B)$ be a unipotent radical of a \mathbb{Q} -parabolic. If U_1, U_2 are conjugate then $U_1 = U_2$.*

For $i = 1, \dots, r$ let $d_i = \dim \mathfrak{u}_i$, let $V_i = \bigwedge_1^{d_i} \mathfrak{g}$ and let $\rho_i: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V_i)$ be the d_i -th exterior power of the adjoint representation. Let D be a maximal connected \mathbb{R} -diagonalizable subgroup of G and let \mathfrak{d} denote its Lie algebra. Any connected \mathbb{R} -diagonalizable subgroup of G is conjugate to a subgroup of D . Let $\Psi_i \subset \mathfrak{d}^*$ be the weights of ρ_i , that is, $V_i = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Psi_i} V_\chi$, where

$$V_\chi = \{v \in V_i : \forall Y \in \mathfrak{d}, \rho_i(Y)v = e^{\chi(Y)}v\}$$

and

$$\Psi_i = \{\chi \in \mathfrak{d}^* : V_\chi \neq \{0\}\}.$$

For a finite $M \subset \mathfrak{g}$ let $\langle M \rangle$ denote the vector space spanned by M . For $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{R}_i$ let v_1, \dots, v_{d_i} be a set of generators (over \mathbb{Z}) of $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{Z})$ and let $\mathbf{p}_\mathfrak{h} = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{d_i}$ be the corresponding vector in V_i . Note that, up to a choice of sign, $\mathbf{p}_\mathfrak{h}$ is independent of the choice of v_1, \dots, v_{d_i} .

PROPOSITION 7: *For any $x = \pi(g) \in G/\Gamma$ there is a neighborhood W of 0 in \mathfrak{g} with the following property. For any $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{R}_i, i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, there is $\chi \in \Psi_i$ such that if M is a horospherical subset with $\langle M \rangle = \mathfrak{h}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{d}$ is such that $\text{Ad}(\exp(Y)g)M \subset W \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\exp(Y)x}$, then $\chi(Y) < 0$.*

Proof: For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $\|\cdot\|_i$ be a norm on V_i which is the max norm with respect to a fixed basis of eigenvectors for the $\rho_i(D)$ -action on V_i . By discreteness of $V_i(\mathbb{Z})$ there is a neighborhood W_i of 0 in V_i such that $W_i \cap \rho_i(g)V_i(\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$ and hence $\rho_i(g)\mathbf{p}_\mathfrak{h} \notin W_i$ for $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{R}_i$. There is a small enough $\varepsilon > 0$ such that each W_i contains the ε -ball centered at 0 in V_i .

Now choose a neighborhood W of 0 in \mathfrak{g} small enough so that if $v_1, \dots, v_{d_i} \in W$ then

$$\|v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{d_i}\|_i < \varepsilon.$$

Given $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{R}_i$ let $\mathbf{p} = \rho_i(g)\mathbf{p}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and choose $\chi \in \Psi_i$ so that $\|\mathbf{p}\|_i$ is equal to the absolute value of the coefficient corresponding to an eigenvector with weight χ .

Suppose $M' = \{v_1, \dots, v_{d_i}\} \subset \mathfrak{g}_x$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{d}$ are such that

$$\text{Ad}(\exp(Y))M' \subset W$$

and $\langle M \rangle = \mathfrak{h}$, where $M = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})M' \subset \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Then by the definition of W_i and W we find that

$$\|\rho_i(\exp(Y))\mathbf{p}\|_i < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{p}\|_i \geq \varepsilon.$$

Thus by definition of $\|\cdot\|_i$, $\chi(Y) < 0$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1 assuming Proposition 3: Let A be as in the statement of the theorem. Applying a conjugation we may assume that $A \subset D$. Let $x = \pi(g)$ for $g \in G$, and let W be a small enough neighborhood of 0 in \mathfrak{g} so that the conclusions of Propositions 4 and 7 are satisfied. Let

$$K = \{z \in G/\Gamma : W \cap \mathfrak{g}_z \text{ does not contain a horospherical subset}\},$$

a compact subset of G/Γ by Proposition 5. Thus if $Y \in \mathfrak{a}$ with $\exp(Y)x \notin K$, then for some $M \subset \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Z})$ we have $\langle M \rangle \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\text{Ad}(\exp(Y)g)M \subset W \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\exp(Y)x}$.

Let \mathfrak{a} denote the Lie algebra of A , equipped with a Euclidean norm. Assume the theorem is false; then for some $T > 0$, the $(\dim A - 1)$ -dimensional sphere

$$S = \{Y \in \mathfrak{a} : \|Y\| = T\}$$

is covered by the sets $\mathcal{V} = \{V(\mathfrak{h}) : \mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{R}\}$, where

$$V(\mathfrak{h}) = \{Y \in \mathfrak{a} : \exists M \subset \mathfrak{g} \text{ s.t. } \langle M \rangle = \mathfrak{h}, \text{Ad}(\exp(Y)g)M \subset W \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\exp(Y)x}\}.$$

Applying Propositions 7 and 3 we find that there is $Y_0 \in S$ which is contained in $V(\mathfrak{h}_1) \cap \dots \cap V(\mathfrak{h}_{r+1})$ with \mathfrak{h}_j distinct for $j = 1, \dots, r+1$. After re-ordering the indices, this means that for $j = 1, 2$ and some $g_0 \in G$ there are $M_j \subset \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{h}_1 \neq \mathfrak{h}_2, \text{Ad}(g_0)\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_2, \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{h}_j = \langle M_j \rangle$$

and

$$\text{Ad}(z)M_j \subset W \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\pi(z)} \quad \text{where } z = \exp(Y_0)g.$$

Let \mathfrak{f} be the Lie algebra spanned by $W \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\pi(z)}$. By Proposition 4 it is unipotent. Note that $\mathfrak{f}' = \text{Ad}(z^{-1})\mathfrak{f}$ is generated by elements of $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Z})$, therefore it is contained in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{f}'' of the unipotent radical of a minimal \mathbb{Q} -parabolic. Then by construction we have $\mathfrak{h}_1 \subset \mathfrak{f}''$ and $\text{Ad}(g_0)\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_2 \subset \mathfrak{f}''$. Hence by Proposition 6 we have that $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathfrak{h}_2$, a contradiction to (1). ■

Proof of Proposition 3: Since S is compact we can assume that $\mathcal{V} = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ is finite. We recall the standard construction of the *nerve* of \mathcal{V} . Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$ be the vectors of the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^k and let \mathcal{C} be the simplicial complex whose vertices are $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_k$ and whose $(\ell - 1)$ -dimensional subsimplices are the convex hulls of any set of vertices $\mathbf{e}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{i_\ell}$ for which $V_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap V_{i_\ell} \neq \emptyset$. Suppose by contradiction that the proposition is false; then $\dim \mathcal{C} \leq n - 1$.

Let f_1, \dots, f_k be a partition of unity subordinate to \mathcal{V} , i.e., each $f_i: S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous, $\sum f_i \equiv 1$ and

$$\text{supp } f_i = \{s \in S : f_i(s) \neq 0\} \subset V_i.$$

Define $F: S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by

$$F(s) = \sum f_i(s)\mathbf{e}_i.$$

We claim that we can modify \mathcal{C} and F to obtain a simplicial complex $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\tilde{F}: S \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with the following properties:

- (i) $\dim \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \leq \dim \mathcal{C}$.
- (ii) \tilde{F} is onto.
- (iii) For any $b \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ there is i such that for any simplex Δ of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$,

$$b \in \Delta \implies \tilde{F}^{-1}(\Delta) \subset V_i.$$

Let us assume the validity of this claim and complete the proof of the proposition. Let B be the closed ball centered at 0 such that $S = \partial B$. We define $g: \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow B$ as follows. For each vertex b of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ let $g(b) \in \tilde{F}^{-1}(b)$ (note that $\tilde{F}^{-1}(b) \neq \emptyset$ by (ii)). All other points of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ are convex combinations of vertices and we extend g to all of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ in an affine manner. Note that $0 \notin g(\tilde{\mathcal{C}})$; in fact, for every $b \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, by (iii) there is i such that $g(b)$ is a convex combination of elements of V_i , and in particular $\chi(g(b)) < 0$ for the linear functional χ which assumes negative values on V_i . Moreover, for every $s \in S$ there is i such that $s \in V_i$ and $g(\tilde{F}(s))$ is contained in the convex hull of V_i . Thus, applying radial projection to the image of g we obtain a continuous function $G: \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow S$ such that for each $s \in S$, s and $G \circ \tilde{F}(s)$ are in the same (open) hemisphere. In particular s and $G \circ \tilde{F}(s)$ determine a unique great circle, and moving along it

defines a homotopy between $G \circ \tilde{F}$ and the identity map $S \rightarrow S$. We have shown that a map homotopic to the identity map from the n -sphere to itself factors through a simplicial complex of dimension at most $n - 1$. Thus the identity map induces the zero map on the nontrivial n -th dimensional homology group of S , a contradiction.

It remains to prove the claim. Consider the sets $\mathcal{U}_i = \mathcal{C} \setminus F(S \setminus V_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. These are open sets since $S \setminus V_i$ is compact, they cover \mathcal{C} and $F^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_i) \subset V_i$. Take a decomposition of \mathcal{C} into simplices sufficiently small so that for any $b \in \mathcal{C}$ there is i such that for any simplices Δ, Δ' ,

$$(2) \quad b \in \Delta, \Delta \cap \Delta' \neq \emptyset \implies \Delta' \subset \mathcal{U}_i.$$

We write the simplices in this decomposition of \mathcal{C} as $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_t$, where $\dim \Delta_j \geq \dim \Delta_\ell$ whenever $j < \ell$, and inductively define simplicial complexes $\mathcal{C}_0, \dots, \mathcal{C}_t$ with each \mathcal{C}_ℓ a union of Δ_i 's, and $F_\ell: S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\ell$, as follows. We first set $F_0 = F$, $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}$, and, supposing F_ℓ and \mathcal{C}_ℓ have been defined, consider three cases. If $\Delta_{\ell+1} \subset F_\ell(S)$ then $\mathcal{C}_{\ell+1} = \mathcal{C}_\ell$ and $F_{\ell+1} = F_\ell$. If $F_\ell(S) \cap \Delta_{\ell+1} = \emptyset$ then $\mathcal{C}_{\ell+1} = \mathcal{C}_\ell \setminus \text{int } \Delta_{\ell+1}$ and $F_{\ell+1} = F_\ell$. Finally, if $\Delta_{\ell+1} \not\subset F_\ell(S)$ then by compactness of S there is $\delta \in \text{int } \Delta_{\ell+1} \setminus F_\ell(S)$ and we set $\mathcal{C}_{\ell+1} = \mathcal{C}_\ell \setminus \text{int } \Delta_{\ell+1}$ and define $F_{\ell+1}$ on $F_\ell^{-1}(\text{int } \Delta_{\ell+1})$ by radially retracting from δ to $\partial \Delta_{\ell+1}$, and without changing the map F_ℓ outside of $F_\ell^{-1}(\text{int } \Delta_{\ell+1})$. We set $\tilde{F} = F_t$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}_t$. It is clear that (i) holds for \tilde{F} . To verify (ii), suppose $y \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \tilde{F}(S)$, and let $\ell + 1$ be the largest index j for which $y \in \text{int } \Delta_j$. Then by construction $y \notin F_{\ell+1}(S)$. This implies by construction that $\text{int } \Delta_{\ell+1} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\ell+1} = \emptyset$, so $y \notin \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, a contradiction. Finally, to prove (iii), let $b \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, let i satisfy (2) and suppose $b \in \Delta$. By induction one sees that if $F(s) \in \Delta'$ then also $\tilde{F}(s) \in \Delta'$, so if $\tilde{F}(s) \in \Delta$ and $F(s) \in \Delta'$ then $\Delta \cap \Delta' \neq \emptyset$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{F}^{-1}(\Delta) \subset \bigcup_{\Delta \cap \Delta' \neq \emptyset} F^{-1}(\Delta'),$$

and (iii) follows from (2). ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: I am very grateful to Curt McMullen and Michael Levin for explaining basic topology to me. The proof of Proposition 3 is due to Levin, and an earlier version of this paper used ideas of McMullen. I am also grateful to Dave Morris and George Tomanov for useful discussions, and to the Max Planck Institute in Bonn for its hospitality.

This work was supported by the Israel Science Foundation.

References

- [Bo1] A. Borel, *Introduction aux groupes arithmétiques*, Hermann, Paris, 1969.
- [Bo2] A. Borel, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, second enlarged edition, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [ChMo] P. Chatterjee and D. Morris, *Divergent torus orbits in homogeneous spaces of \mathbb{Q} -rank two*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, this volume.
- [Mo] D. (Witte) Morris, *Introduction to Arithmetic Groups*, (preliminary version), available online at: <http://people.uleth.ca/~dave.morris/LectureNotes.shtml#ArithmeticGroups>
- [ToWe] G. Tomanov and B. Weiss, *Closed orbits for actions of maximal tori on homogeneous spaces*, Duke Mathematical Journal **119** (2003), 367–392.
- [W] B. Weiss, *Divergent trajectories on noncompact parameter spaces*, Geometric and Functional Analysis **14** (2004), 94–149.